



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2014

Nutritional diseases: from bottle feeding to geriatric issues

Clauss, Marcus ; Hatt, Jean-Michel

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-96277>

Conference or Workshop Item

Originally published at:

Clauss, Marcus; Hatt, Jean-Michel (2014). Nutritional diseases: from bottle feeding to geriatric issues. In: International Conference on Diseases of Zoo and Wild Animals, Warshaw, Poland, 28 May 2014 - 31 May 2014. Leibniz Institute of Zoo and Wildlife Research (IZW) Berlin, 83-87.

NUTRITIONAL DISEASES: FROM BOTTLE FEEDING TO GERIATRIC ISSUES

CLAUSS M, HATT J-M

University of Zurich, Vetsuisse Faculty, Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Winterthurerstrasse 260, 8057 Zurich, SWITZERLAND; mclauss@vetclinics.uzh.ch

From neonates that have to be hand-raised to animals beyond their prime that have difficulties ingesting the regular diet for their species, all animals at a zoo have to be fed, mostly on a daily basis. The decision what is fed is based on various concepts, often summarised as the juxtaposition of the concept of a complete (formulated) diet and a diet consisting of 'natural' diet items, illustrated in the articles of RATCLIFFE (1966) and WACKERNAGEL (1966) on the one hand and that of HEDIGER (1966) on the other. When arguing about the differential use of pelleted diets or roughages, or mineralised minced meat vs. whole carcasses, this debate remains alive today. However, as goes nearly without saying, the decision what is fed is most often based on what has been fed before. In the preparation of husbandry guidelines, for example, it can still often be seen that the feeding chapter merely comprises an inventory of what is currently being fed at various zoos rather than a recommendation of what should be fed. A confusion of actual recommendations and summaries of current practices may make introducing dietary changes more difficult.

Different approaches are used to investigate nutrition-related problems in zoo animals. A first step is an evaluation of the diets fed in captivity and a comparison with the species' natural diet (e.g. SCHWITZER et al., 2009; TAYLOR et al., 2013), with speculative links to typical problems known in the species that are logical but lack empirical evidence in the form of proven association between the problem and the diet of individuals with that problem. Another approach is to compare clinical or pathological measures between free-ranging and captive animals (PAGLIA et al., 2000; FUJITA and KAGEYAMA, 2007; KAISER et al., 2009), with speculative links to typical diets ingested in the wild or fed in captivity but again without a proven association. As a step closer to making that association, cases or case series of diseases known to be nutrition-related from domestic veterinary medicine are described with their clinical and *post mortem* pathology, together with varying levels of details of the nutritional history (e.g. CLAUSS et al., 2009a; SCHILCHER et al., 2013); here, the link is more compelling, yet negative controls are often lacking. At an increasing level of complexity, investigations on a larger number of animals are performed, and risk factors for animals with and without a problem are identified, such as the occurrence of metabolic bone disease in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) and exposure to UVB light (PYE et al., 2013), the link between obesity and acyclicity in African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) (FREEMAN et al., 2009), or a link between the amount of roughage investigated and faeces consistency in tapirs (CLAUSS et al., 2009b). Availability and reliability of both clinical and necropsy records, and records of the diets fed or ingested, are major limiting factors for such studies. The most sophisticated approach, evidently, are controlled experimental studies (e.g. HOBY et al., 2010; MCCUSKER et al., 2011). One difficulty in such studies is that the target problem may be difficult to demonstrate, if it is not related to a clear immediate deficiency (such as e.g. in metabolic bone disease MBD) but to long-term health effects (such as e.g. in subacute ruminal acidosis). Another difficulty evidently is the transfer of both, logical concepts and empirical results, into common husbandry practices. One of our favourite examples for this difficulty is the clear, experimental approach to iron storage disease (ISD) in marmoset by MILLER et al. (1997) that showed that iron levels above 350 ppm dry matter resulted in critical illness; yet, even in 2011 complete feeds for marmosets were promoted that contained declared iron levels above this value (CLAUSS and PAGLIA, 2012). There are examples where nutritional research led to immediate changes, such as in the

development of circulating vitamin E levels in rhinoceroses after the first presentation on the topic (CLAUSS et al., 2002), but other examples warn that measures have to be implemented to maintain alertness to problems after they have been recognised for once (BESSELMANN et al., 2008).

One factor that may limit the compliance of zoo managers to adopt recommended dietary strategies is the absence of evident, acute signs of disease or abnormality. In this respect, gorillas could be considered an interesting example. Subjectively, it appears that gorillas are the one primate species in which current recommendations to reduce the amount of unnatural diet items, such as commercial fruits, meat, grain or milk products (OFTEDAL and ALLEN, 1996; NRC, 2003; SCHWITZER et al., 2009), are widely followed, in contrast to many other primate species. This might be due to the fact that the problem of regurgitation/reingestion is particularly prominent in this species and (also) linked to diet (LUKAS, 1999); note that however, this problem is also reported in other great apes and may also be related to the same diet factors (BAKER and EASLEY, 1996; CASSELLA et al., 2012).

Another, and possibly the most critical factor that may limit the compliance of zoo managers to adopt recommended dietary strategies is the apparently banal fact that there is no easily accessible, comprehensive collection of these recommendations, in a form comparable e.g. to taxonomy-structured compendia on zoo animal medicine (FOWLER and MILLER, 2005). In older zoo literature and recommendations derived from it, lists of diet items that can be fed to animals are available (KRUMBIEGEL, 1976; ENGELMANN, 2006; BLASZKIEWITZ et al., 2009; GRUMMT and STREHLOW, 2009) without amounts or proportions, or collations of diets actually fed (RECHCIGL, 1977b; RECHCIGL, 1977a), but their value for the design of current diet regimes may be limited. There are excellent textbooks on comparative nutrition (ROBBINS, 1993; BARBOZA et al., 2009; CHEEKE and DIERENFELD, 2010) and also on more focused taxonomic groups (KLASING, 1998; HUME, 1999), including detailed scientific treatments of nutrient recommendations (NRC, 2003; NRC, 2007), but it is difficult and time-consuming to extract practical diet solutions from them. Various conference series, such as the Dr. Scholl conferences, the Comparative Nutrition Society proceedings (<http://www.cnsweb.org>), the Nutrition Advisory group proceedings and fact sheets (<http://nagonline.net/>), the Zoo Animal Nutrition book series of the European Nutrition Group (<http://www.filander.de/zoo.html>), or volumes 6, 16 and 39 of the International Zoo Yearbook all represent additional valuable resources, yet the difficulty remains that no summarizing collection exists in which, in a standardised and easily accessible format, recommended diets are given for relevant zoo animal taxa or groups. For current zoo animal nutrition, such a task is probably the most important next step. For the time being, the maybe best overview over zoo animal nutrition can be found in the five nutrition chapters of KLEIMAN et al. (1996), and in various textbooks for specific groups, e.g. for reptiles in CALVERT (2004b; 2004a) and DONOGHUE (2006). For the hand-raising of neonates, lists of milk composition are available (JENNESS and SLOAN, 1970; OFTEDAL, 1984) as well as various compilations (e.g. TAYLOR and BIETZ, 1982) and numerous individual articles, and there are commercial preparations designed to achieve any kind of milk nutrient composition (e.g. Zoologic Milk Matrix, <http://www.petag.com/>).

Species- or taxon-specific knowledge in the feeding of zoo animals is important, with various examples such as galactose intolerance that leads to cataracts in macropod joeys when fed lactose-containing milk (such as cow's milk) (STANLEY, 2002), the fact that vitamin D₂ has no effect on calcium absorption in new world monkeys which therefore require a source of vitamin D₃ (HUNT et al., 1967), or a particular proneness for copper deficiency in blesbok (DIERENFELD et al., 1988), to name just a few. On the other hand, several general themes are prevalent in zoo animal nutrition, such as MBD, ISD, obesity, and dental disorders, as well as the encouragement of natural behaviours (HOSEY et al., 2013). Whereas MBD is particularly affecting growing animals, the effects of obesity, ISD and dental disorders accumulate over time and therefore affect adult and geriatric animals. In particular, dental

abnormalities are common in very old animals (e.g. MARTIN JURADO et al., 2008), and dietary adjustment for this group often requires the presentation of diet items that can be ingested without intensive mastication (e.g. HATT et al., 2004). In particular, the prevention of obesity has received increased attention at recent zoo nutrition meetings, and regular body condition scoring and/or weighing of animals, with corresponding adjustments in diet, are widely recommended. Additionally, reports on collection-wide dietary changes, in particular with the aim of increasing dietary fibre, and reducing sugars (as in commercial fruit) and starches (as in grain-based products) have been presented (FIDGETT, 2012; HATT, 2012; TAYLOR et al., 2012; PLOWMAN, 2013) that demonstrate not only the feasibility of such large-scale changes, but also their cost-efficiency. Efforts to spread knowledge on the nutrient composition to zoo animal keepers to motivate and increase the acceptance of diet changes (e.g. CLAUSS et al., 2012) should continue; for example, a series of posters on the topic is available (also in English language) at the Dierenwelzijnsweb (<http://www.groenkennisnet.nl/dierenwelzijnsweb/Pages/dierentuivoeding.aspx>). Last but not least, diets fed to zoo animals represent visible cues for zoo visitors on the biology of the species; in this respect, feeding diets of biological logic is an integral part of the pedagogic concept of a zoo.

References

- BAKER KC, EASLEY SP (1996): *An analysis of regurgitation and reingestion in captive chimpanzees*. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* **49**, 403 - 415.
- BARBOZA PS, PARKER KL, HUME ID (2009): *Integrative wildlife nutrition*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- BESSELMANN D, SCHAUB D, WENKER C, VÖLLM J, ROBERT N, SCHELLING C, STEINMETZ H, CLAUSS M (2008): *Juvenile mortality in captive lesser kudu (Tragelaphus imberbis) at Basle zoo and its relation to nutrition and husbandry*. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **39**, 86 - 91.
- BLASZKIEWITZ B, PUSCHMANN W, ZSCHEILE D, ZSCHEILE K (2009): *Zootierhaltung. Tiere in menschlicher Obhut. Säugetiere 5., überarbeitete und erweiterte Auflage*. Harri Deutsch Verlag, Frankfurt/Main.
- CALVERT I (2004a): *Nutritional problems*. In: Girling SJ, Raiti P (Eds.): *BSAVA Manual of Reptiles*. British Small Animal Veterinary Association, Quedgeley, Gloucester, 289 - 308.
- CALVERT I (2004b): *Nutrition*. In: Girling SJ, Raiti P (Eds.): *BSAVA manual of reptiles*. British Small Animal Veterinary Association, Quedgeley, Gloucester, 18 - 39.
- CASSELLA CM, MILLS A, LUKAS KE (2012): *Prevalence of regurgitation and reingestion in orangutans housed in North American zoos and an examination of factors influencing its occurrence in a single group of Bornean orangutans*. *Zoo Biol.* **31**, 609 - 620.
- CHEEKE PR, DIERENFELD ES (2010): *Comparative animal nutrition and metabolism*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- CLAUSS M, JESSUP DA, NORKUS EB, HOLICK MF, STREICH WJ, DIERENFELD ES (2002): *Fat soluble vitamins in blood and tissues of free-ranging and captive rhinoceros species*. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **38**, 402 - 413.
- CLAUSS M, KELLER A, PEEMÖLLER A, NYGRÉN K, HATT J-M, NUSS K (2009a): *Postmortal radiographic diagnosis of laminitis in a captive European moose (Alces alces)*. *Schw. Arch. Tierheilkd.* **151**, 545 - 549.
- CLAUSS M, WILKINS T, HARTLEY A, HATT J-M (2009b): *Diet composition, food intake, body condition, and fecal consistency in captive tapirs (Tapirus spp.) in UK collections*. *Zoo Biol.* **28**, 279 - 291.
- CLAUSS M, HUMMEL J, HATT J-M (2012): *Nutrient composition tables for fruits and vegetables as a decision tool for zoo animal keepers*. *Proc. Europ. Zoo Nutr. Conf.* **7**, 51 - 53.

- CLAUSS M, PAGLIA DE (2012): Iron storage disease in captive wild mammals - the comparative evidence. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **43**, S6 - S18.
- DIERENFELD ES, DOLENSEK EP, MCNAMARA TS, DOHERTY JG (1988): Copper deficiency in captive blesbok antelope (*Damalisca dorcas phillipsi*). *J. Zoo Anim. Med.* **19**, 126 - 131.
- DONOGHUE S (2006): Nutrition. In: Mader DR (Ed.): *Reptile Medicine and Surgery*. Saunders Elsevier, St. Louis, 251 - 298.
- ENGELMANN WE (Ed.) (2006): *Zootierhaltung. Tiere in menschlicher Obhut. Reptilien und Amphibien*. Verlag Harri Deutsch, Frankfurt am Main.
- FIDGETT A (2012): Changing hoofstock rations at Chester Zoo. Presentation at the Forage Workshop of the 7th European Zoo Nutrition Conference, 27-30 January 2012, Zurich.
- FOWLER ME, MILLER RE (Eds.) (2005): *Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine*. 5th ed. Saunders, St. Louis.
- FREEMAN EW, GUAGNANO G, OLSON D, KEELE M, BROWN JL (2009): Social factors influence ovarian acyclicity in captive African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*). *Zoo Biol.* **28**, 1 - 15.
- FUJITA S, KAGEYAMA T (2007): Polymerase chain reaction detection of *Clostridium perfringens* in feces from captive and wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *J. Med. Primatol.* **36**, 25 - 32.
- GRUMMT W, STREHLOW H (Eds.) (2009): *Zootierhaltung-Tiere in menschlicher Obhut. Vögel*. Verlag Harry Deutsch, Frankfurt am Main.
- HATT J-M, WENKER C, CASTELL J, CLAUSS M (2004): Dietary and veterinary management of a lingual abscess in a geriatric captive black rhino (*Diceros bicornis*) with iron storage disease. *Proc. Eur. Ass. Zoo Wildl. Vet.* **5**, 339 - 340.
- HATT J-M (2012): Commissary organisation and design. *Proc. Europ. Zoo Nutr. Conf.* **7**, 49 - 50.
- HEDIGER H (1966): Diets of animals in captivity. *Int. Zoo Yb.* **6**, 37 - 58.
- HOBY S, WENKER C, ROBERT N, JERMANN T, HARTNACK S, SENGHER H, AEBISCHER C-P, LIESEGANG A (2010): Nutritional metabolic bone disease in juvenile veiled chameleons (*Chamaeleo calyptratus*) and its prevention. *J. Nutr.* **140**, 1923 - 1931.
- HOSEY G, MELFI V, PANKHURST S (2013): *Zoo animals: behaviour, management, and welfare*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- HUME ID (1999): *Marsupial nutrition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- HUNT RD, GARCIA FG, HEGSTED DM, KAPLINSKY N (1967): Vitamins D₂ and D₃ in New World primates: influence on calcium absorption. *Science* **157**, 943 - 945.
- JENNESS R, SLOAN RE (1970): The composition of milks of various species: a review. *Dairy Sci. Abstr.* **32**, 599-612.
- KAISER TM, BRASCH J, CASTELL JC, SCHULZ E, CLAUSS M (2009): Tooth wear in captive wild ruminant species differs from that of free-ranging conspecifics. *Mamm. Biol.* **74**, 425 - 437.
- KLASING K (1998): *Comparative avian nutrition*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- KLEIMAN DG, ALLEN ME, THOMPSON KV, LUMPKIN S (Eds.) (1996): *Wild Mammals in Captivity. Principles and Techniques*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- KRUMBIEGEL I (1976): *Gefangene Tiere richtig füttern*. DLG-Verlagsgesellschaft, Frankfurt/Main, Germany.
- LUKAS KE (1999): A review of nutritional and motivational factors contributing to the performance of regurgitation and reingestion in captive lowland gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* **63**, 237 - 249.
- MARTIN JURADO O, CLAUSS M, HATT J-M (2008): Irregular tooth wear and longevity in captive wild ruminants: a pilot survey of necropsy reports. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **39**, 69 - 75.
- MCCUSKER S, SHIPLEY LA, TOLLEFSON TN, GRIFFIN M, KOUTSOS EA (2011): Effects of starch and fibre in pelleted diets on nutritional status of mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) fawns. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **95**, 489 - 498.

- MILLER GF, BARNARD DE, WOODWARD RA, FLYNN BM, BULTE JWM (1997): Hepatic hemosiderosis in common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*): effect of diet on incidence and severity. *Lab. Anim. Sci.* **47**, 138 - 142.
- NRC (2003): *Nutrient requirements nonhuman primates*. Second revised edition. National Research Council, The National Academy Press, Washington D.C.
- NRC (2007): *Nutrient requirements of small ruminants. Sheep, goats, cervids and New World camelids*. National Academy of Science Press, Washington D.C.
- OFTEDAL OT (1984): Milk composition, milk yield and energy output at peak lactation: a comparative review. *Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* **51**, 33 - 85.
- OFTEDAL OT, ALLEN ME (1996): The feeding and nutrition of omnivores with emphasis on primates. In: Kleiman DG, Allen ME, Thompson KV, Lumpkin S (Eds.): *Wild Mammals in Captivity. Principles and Techniques*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 148 - 157.
- PAGLIA DE, MILLER CL, FOERSTER SH, WYNNE JE, TSU ICH, KENNY DE (2000): Evidence for acquired iron overload in captive tapirs (*Tapirus* spp.). *Proc. Am. Ass. Zoo Vet.* **2000**, 124 - 126.
- PLOWMAN A (2013): Diet review and change for monkeys at Paignton Zoo Environmental Park. *J. Zoo Aquar. Res.* **1**, 73 - 77.
- PYE GW, GAIT SC, MULOT B, DE ASUA MDR, MARTINEZ-NEVADO E, BONAR CJ, BAINES SJ, BAINES EA (2013): Metabolic bone disease in juvenile koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **44**, 273 - 279.
- RATCLIFFE HL (1966): Diets for zoological gardens: aids to conservation and disease control. *Int. Zoo Yb.* **6**, 4 - 22.
- RECHCIGL M (Ed.) (1977a): *CRC handbook series in nutrition and food. Section G: Diets, culture media, food supplements. Volume I: Diets for mammals*. CRC Press Inc., Cleveland, OH.
- RECHCIGL M (Ed.) (1977b): *CRC handbook series in nutrition and food. Section G: Diets, culture media, food supplements. Volume II: Food habits of, and diets for invertebrates and vertebrates - zoo diets*. CRC Press Inc., Cleveland, OH.
- ROBBINS CT (1993): *Wildlife feeding and nutrition*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- SCHILCHER B, BAUMGARTNER K, GEYER H, LIESEGANG A (2013): Investigations on rumen health of different wild ruminants in relation to feeding management. *J. Zoo Aquar. Res.* **1**, 28 - 30.
- SCHWITZER C, POLOWINSKY SY, SOLMAN C (2009): Fruits as foods – common misconceptions about frugivory. In: Clauss M et al. (Eds.): *Zoo Animal Nutrition IV*. Filander Verlag, Fürth, 131 - 168.
- STANLEY RG (2002): Marsupial ophthalmology. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Exotic Anim. Pract.* **5**, 371 - 390.
- TAYLOR LA, WARREN A, SIMPSON N, CLAUSS M, SCHWITZER C (2012): Is it worth it? The cost of feeding fruits. *Proc. Europ. Zoo Nutr. Conf.* **7**, 11.
- TAYLOR LA, SCHWITZER C, OWEN-SMITH N, KREUZER M, CLAUSS M (2013): Feeding practices for captive greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*) in UK collections as compared to diets of free-ranging specimens. *J. Zoo Aquar. Res.* **1**, 7 - 13.
- TAYLOR SCS, BIETZ A (1982): *Infant diet/care notebook compiled by the Infant Diet/Care Committee*. American Association of Zoological Parks and Aquariums; available at: <http://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/009473424>
- WACKERNAGEL H (1966): Feeding wild animals in zoological gardens. *Int. Zoo Yb.* **6**, 23 - 37.

Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research
(IZW)

Berlin, Germany

&

European Association of Zoo and Wildlife Veterinarians
(EAZWV)

Liebefeld-Berne, Switzerland

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
DISEASES OF
ZOO AND WILD ANIMALS
2014**

May 28th – 31st, 2014
Warsaw / Poland

Edited by

Mirjam Grobbel
Anke Schumann

ISSN 1868 - 5846

The contributions included in this volume were carefully checked and revised. Nevertheless, authors and editors are unable to guarantee the correctness of all presented data, conclusions and advice and do not accept liability for possible printings errors. The editors gratefully acknowledge the willingness of the following colleagues for reviewing the manuscripts submitted for this conference:

Dr. Bernardino, Lisbon, Portugal; DVM Bertelsen, Frederiksberg, Denmark; PD Dr. Borchers, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Bouts, Brugelette, Belgium; Prof. Dr. Clauss, Zurich, Switzerland; Dr. Czirjak, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Dehnhard, Berlin, Germany; Prof. Dr. Eulenberger, Leipzig, Germany; Dr. H. Fernández, Barcelona, Spain; Dr. M. Fernández, Madrid, Spain; Dr. Fielding, Blackpool, UK; G. Fritsch, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Grobbel, Berlin, Germany; Prof. Dr. Gröne, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Dr. Gruber-Dujardin, Göttingen, Germany; Prof. Dr. Gumpenberger, Vienna, Austria; Dr. Haider, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Heckers, Bad Kissingen, Germany; Dr. IJzer, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Dr. J. Kaandorp, Hilvarenbeek, The Netherlands; Dr. Kik, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Dr. König, Gießen, Germany; Prof. Dr. Krautwald-Junghanns, Leipzig, Germany; Dr. Krone, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Kummrow, Wuppertal, Germany; Dr. Kutzer, Frankfurt/Oder, Germany; Dr. Lawrenz, Wuppertal, Germany; Dr. Lécú, Paris, France; Prof. Dr. Lierz, Gießen, Germany; Prof. Dr. Liesegang, Zurich, Switzerland; Dr. Lobo Fernandes, Lisbon, Portugal; Dr. Lübke-Becker, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Marschang, Stuttgart, Germany; Dr. Jean Meyer, Villach, Austria; Dr. Möller, Kolmården, Sweden; PD Dr. Moser, Jena, Germany; Dr. Karin Müller, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Kerstin Müller, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Mutschmann, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Ochs, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Ortmann, Berlin, Germany; J. Painer, Berlin, Germany; DVM Pasmans, Merelbeke, Belgium; Dr. Pauly, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Rudnick, Rostock, Germany; Dr. Sanderson, Upton-by-Chester, UK; Dr. Schares, Wusterhausen, Germany; Dr. Schmäsche, Leipzig, Germany; D. Schrudde, Münster, Germany; Dr. Silinski-Mehr, Münster, Germany; DVM Sós, Budapest, Hungary; Dr. Speck, Leipzig, Germany; Dr. Spiezio, Bussolengo, Italy; Prof. Dr. Steinhagen, Hannover, Germany; Dr. Strauss, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Szentiks, Berlin, Germany; Dr. Unwin, Chester, UK; Dr. van Zijll Langhout, Malelane, South Africa; Dr. Vodička, Praha, Czech Republic; Prof. Dr. von Samson-Himmelstjerna, Berlin, Germany; Prof. Dr. Weissengruber, Vienna, Austria; Dr. Wenker, Basel, Switzerland; Dr. Wibbelt, Berlin, Germany

This is also the continuation of the 7th "Proceedings of the Meeting of the EAZWV" (2008) and the "Erkrankungen der Zootiere – Verhandlungsbericht des 43. Internationalen Symposiums über die Erkrankungen der Zoo- und Wildtiere" (2007).

Published by the Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research (IZW)
Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, 10315 Berlin (Friedrichsfelde)
Postfach 70 04 30, 10324 Berlin, Germany

Printed on FSC-certified paper. The paper has been harvested, processed and manufactured in a sustainable fashion. The Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) label is the gold standard in forest management and sustainable wood products.

All rights reserved, particularly those for translation into other languages. It is not permitted to reproduce any part of this book by photocopy, microfilm, internet or any other means without written permission of the IZW. The use of product names, trade names or other registered entities in this book does not justify the assumption that these can be freely used by everyone. They may represent registered trademarks or other legal entities even if they are not marked as such.

Setting and layout:	Anke Schumann, Alexander Wächter, Steven Seet, Berlin, Germany
Cover:	Warsaw Zoological Garden, Warsaw, Poland Photo cover and next page (European bison): Adam Wajrak
Printing:	copy print Kopie & Druck GmbH, Berlin, Germany
Order:	Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research (IZW) Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V. Postfach 70 04 30, 10324 Berlin, Germany www.izw-berlin.de